



## **Texas Department of Insurance**

### **Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

## **MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

MEMORIAL HERMANN HOSPITAL SYSTEM  
3200 SW FREEWAY SUITE 2200  
HOUSTON TX 77027

#### **Respondent Name**

ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE CO

#### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 15

#### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-07-2509-01

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Memorial Hermann Hospital System ('Memorial Hermann') submitted its UB92 and itemized statement reflecting ICD-9 code 925.1. Pursuant to Rule 134.401(c)(5) (trauma admit based upon ICD codes), reimbursement is based upon the hospital's fair and reasonable and usual and customary charges, which is \$234,619.41. Ace American Insurance issued an underpayment of \$86,483.09 and denying any balance owed on the basis that the claim was reimbursed at a fair and reasonable charge. However, this claim should be reimbursed at the trauma rate and an additional \$148,136.32 is due and owing."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$148,136.32

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** On December 29, 2006 the respondent's Austin representative, Downs and Stafford, acknowledged receipt of this request for medical fee dispute resolution. The respondent did not submit a response to this request for medical fee dispute resolution.

**Response Submitted by:** None

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 22, 2005 Through January 12, 2006	Inpatient Services	\$148,136.32	\$0.00

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), effective August 1, 1997, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
4. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
5. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on December 21, 2006. Pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on December 29, 2006 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.
6. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:  
Explanation of Benefits dated January 30, 2006
  - 45-Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment IHP-NPN.
  - 45-Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
  - W1- Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
  - 112-001-The bill has been reimbursed according to the providers contract with: IHP-NPN.
  - 112-002-The provider has been selected for contracting purposes using standard Focus Logic.
  - 885-999-Review of this code has resulted in an adjusted reimbursement.
  - 968-This service has been reviewed per claim representative.
  - 975-640-Nurse review in-patient hospital/facility/supply house.

Explanation of Benefits dated April 10, 2006

- 45-Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment IHP-NPN.
- 45-Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
- W1- Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
- 107-Workers Compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
- W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.

## **Findings**

1. According to the explanation of benefits, the carrier paid the services in dispute in accordance with a contracted or legislated fee arrangement. The "PPO Discount" amount on the submitted explanation of benefits denotes a "\$0.00" discount. The Division finds that documentation does not support that the services were discounted due to a contract; therefore, reimbursement for the services in dispute will be reviewed in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.
2. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 925.1. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a copy of any pertinent medical records." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not provided copies of all medical records pertinent to the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(g)(3)(B).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor asks to be reimbursed the full amount of the billed charges in support of which the

requestor states "Pursuant to Rule 134.401(c)(5) (trauma admit based upon ICD codes), reimbursement is based upon the hospital's fair and reasonable and usual and customary charges, which is \$234,619.41.."

- The requestor did not discuss or explain how it determined that 100% of the amount billed would yield a fair and reasonable reimbursement.
- The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.
- The Division has previously found that "hospital charges are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs of providing services nor of what is being paid by other payors," as stated in the adoption preamble to the Division's former *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline*, 22 *Texas Register* 6276. It further states that "Alternative methods of reimbursement were considered... and rejected because they use hospital charges as their basis and allow the hospitals to affect their reimbursement by inflating their charges..." 22 *Texas Register* 6268-6269. Therefore, the use of a hospital's "usual and customary" charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The requestor's position statement further asserts that "However, this claim should be reimbursed at the trauma rate and an additional \$148,136.32 is due and owing." The requestor did not discuss or explain the "trauma rate" used in the determination that additional payment was due.
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

### **Conclusion**

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

### **Authorized Signature**

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	1/20/2012 _____ Date
--------------------	---	----------------------------

_____ Signature	_____ Health Care Business Management Director	1/20/2012 _____ Date
--------------------	---	----------------------------

### ***YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**